

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,335	02/25/2004	Jorge L. Lombana	240022	8789
75	590 01/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Sanchelima and Associates, P.A.			LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M	
Jesus Sanchelin	na, Esq.			
235 S.W. Le Je	une Rd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Miami, FL 33	Miami, FL 33134 1724			

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/785,335	LOMBANA, JORGE L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duane S. Smith	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	20 December 2004					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ters, prosecution as to the merits i	is			
closed in accordance with the practice u	•	·				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-8 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	. Note the attache	a Office Action of John 1 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/785,335 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watts(US Patent No. 4,278,550) taken together with Lavigne (Us Patent No. 2,015,076).

Watts teaches a centrifugal separator device (Figs. 1 and 4) including a wound conduit member(3) having an inlet(2) and an outlet (10) defining an outermost wall (5) having a plurality of through openings(4, see Figs.3-4,cols.2-3) and means for generating a pressure differential (inherent) between the inlet and the outlet of the conduit to flow a mixture comprising at least two fluids having differing densities through the conduit whereby the denser fluid is forced out through the openings(4) by the centrifugal forces and separated from the lighter fluid (col. 2 lines 30-45, col. 3 lines 20-45). Watt further teaches housing means(I3) for collecting the denser fluid and openings(4) disposed at an angle (see Figs.). .Watts discloses the device essentially as claimed, supra, except for the conduit having a plurality of inwardly extending wall portions for each of the through openings adjacent and upstream therefrom to prevent reentrainment and facilitate exiting of the denser fluid. However, Lavigne does disclose such a featuret(unlabeled but best seen in Fig. 6 and element 9 in Figs. 2-3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

include such extending walls as in Lavigne in the device of Watts in order to dived and accumulate separated denser fluid as suggested by Lavigne(col. 3 lines 33-40).

- 3. Claims 2-4 and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-9, filed 12-20-04, with respect to claims 2-4 and 6-8 have been fully considered and are persuasive with respect to the previous 102b rejection and 103 rejection. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made, supra.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 12-20-04 with respect to claims 1 and 5 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Watts does not disclose an inwardly extending wall. While such may be true, Lavigne does disclose an inwardly extending wall(9). Applicant further argues that Lavigne does not disclose a housing. While such may be true, Watts does disclose a housing(13). Applicant argues that the barrier(9) does not function as the inwardly extending wall of the instant invention. However, such is viewed as intended usage of the inwardly extending wall and a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458,

Application/Control Number: 10/785,335

Art Unit: 1724

459 (CCPA 1963). All other arguments have been considered but are not deemed to be persuasive.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duane S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-1166. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane S. Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Duane S. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

1-26-05

Page 4

Dss 1-26-05